5:14-cr-20004-JCO-RSW Doc # 12 Filed 10/21/14 Pg 1 of 4 Pg ID 29

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,	CRIMINAL NO. 14-20004
v.	HON. JOHN CORBETT O'MEARA
RISHI RAGSDALE,	
Defendant.	

STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND FINDING OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY

Through their respective counsel, the parties stipulate and agree to an adjournment of the trial date from September 30, 2014, to September 1, 2016. The reasons for the delay are as follows:

- Defendant Rishi Ragsdale has been charged with Threats in Interstate Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 875(c).
- Ragsdale has entered into a two-year term of pretrial diversion. The
 terms of the pretrial diversion agreement call for dismissal of the charges
 should Ragsdale successfully complete the terms of the pretrial diversion
 agreement.
- However, should Ragsdale fail to successfully complete the terms of his
 pretrial diversion agreement, the agreement becomes void and the United
 States intends to go forward against Ragsdale with the pending charge.

5:14-cr-20004-JCO-RSW Doc # 12 Filed 10/21/14 Pg 2 of 4 Pg ID 30

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the time period from September 30, 2014, through and including September 1, 2016, shall be deemed excludable delay under the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, in consideration of other proceedings concerning the defendant under Section 3161(h)(1), specifically, that the defendant is engaged in a pretrial diversion agreement, and under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), that failure to grant an adjournment would deny Defendant and the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Moreover, the parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by this delay outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

s/Kevin M. Mulcahy
Assistant U.S. Attorney
211 W. Fort Street, Ste. 2001
Detroit, MI 48226
Kevin.mulcahy@usdoj.gov
313-226-9713

s/Douglas Mullkoff
Attorney at Law
402 West Liberty
Ann Arbor, MI 48103
Doug@kmhlaw.com
734-761-8585

October 20, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

	Plaintiff,	CRIMINAL NO.	14-20004
v.		HON. JOHN COR	RBETT O'MEARA
RISHI RAGSDA	LE,		
	Defendant.		/
			/

ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND FINDING OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY

Upon this Court's consideration of the parties' stipulation for an adjournment, and the Court being apprised of the circumstances,

IT IS ORDERED that the trial date be adjourned from September 30, 2014, to September 1, 2016.

The Court finds that reasons for the delay are as follows:

- Defendant Rishi Ragsdale has been charged with Threats in Interstate Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 875(c).
- Ragsdale has entered into a two-year term of pretrial diversion. The
 terms of the pretrial diversion agreement call for dismissal of the charges
 should Ragsdale successfully complete the terms of the pretrial diversion
 agreement.
- However, should Ragsdale fail to successfully complete the terms of his
 pretrial diversion agreement, the agreement becomes void and the United

5:14-cr-20004-JCO-RSW Doc # 12 Filed 10/21/14 Pg 4 of 4 Pg ID 32

States intends to go forward against Ragsdale with the pending charge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time period from September 30,

2014, through and including September 1, 2016, shall be deemed excludable delay

under the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section

3161, in consideration of other proceedings concerning the defendant under Section

3161(h)(1), specifically, that the defendant is engaged in a pretrial diversion

agreement, and under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), that

failure to grant an adjournment would deny Defendant and the government the

reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise

of due diligence.

Moreover, the ends of justice served by this delay outweigh the best interests

of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: October 21, 2014

s/John Corbett O'Meara

United States District Judge